arXiv:math/0311401v1 [math.GM] 23 Nov 2003

NEW TRIGONOMETRIC FORM OF THE HAMILTON'S QUATERNIONS

MIJAIL ANDRÉS SARALAIN FIGUEREDO

RESUMEN. Is it possible to define, for certain values n the product of vectors of the real vector space of n dimensions , such that this is, with respect to multiplication and the ordinary addition of vectors, a numerical system which contains the system of real numbers? It can be proven that this cannot be done. In the space of four dimensions this construction is possible if we are apart from the commutativity of the multiplication. The resulting system is the one of **QUATERNIONS**. In this work I first do a reminder of the fundamental concepts of Hamilton's Hypercomplex and then a deep work with such concepts.

1. Define quaternion

Definition 1.1. We shall call quaternions or simply Hamilton's hypercomplexes to an expression in the form:

$$Q = a + bi + cj + dk$$

where: $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$.Besides i, j, k are imaginary units, pairwise solutions of the equation $x^2 = -1$, satisfying:

$$ij = k = -ji$$
$$jk = i = -kj$$
$$ki = j = -ik$$
$$ijk = -1$$
$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1.$$

Definition 1.2. We shall say a quaternion is purely imaginary, if the first element of the expression is equal to zero ($a = 0, Im(\mathbb{H})$).

Theorem 1.3. *We say $Q = Q'$, with $Q' = a' + b'i + c'j + d'k$ $(Q, Q' \in \mathbb{H})$, ie two quaternions are equal, if and only if, are equivalent the components of their imaginary and real parts: $a = a', b = b', c = c', d = d'$.*

Proof. It's a direct consequence of the equality in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

1.1. Fundamental Definition.

- The sum and substraction are defined component by component, ie:

$$Q + Q' = (a + a') + (b + b')i + (c + c')j + (d + d')k \tag{1.1}$$
$$Q - Q' = (a - a') + (b - b')i + (c - c')j + (d - d')k. \tag{1.2}$$

- The product is defined in the way:

$$Q'' = (a + bi + cj + dk)(a' + b'i + c'j + d'k) \tag{1.3}$$

resulting:
$Q'' = aa' - bb' - cc' - dd' + (ab' + a'b + cd' - c'd)i + (ac' + a'c - bd' + b'd)j + (ad' + a'd + bc' - b'c)k$,
with:

$$a'' = aa' - bb' - cc' - dd'$$

Date: 1 de febrero de 2008.

mijail@mfc.uclv.edu.cu.

$$b'' = ab' + a'b + cd' - c'd$$
$$c'' = ac' + a'c - bd' + b'd$$
$$d'' = ad' + a'd + bc' - b'c$$
$$Q'' = a'' + b''i + c''j + d''k. \tag{1.4}$$

1.2. **Fundamental Properties.**

- Commutativity of the sum: $Q_1 + Q_2 = Q_2 + Q_1$.
- Associativity of the sum: $(Q_1 + Q_2) + Q_3 = Q_1 + (Q_2 + Q_3)$.
- Associativity of the product: $(Q_1 \cdot Q_2) \cdot Q_3 = Q_1 \cdot (Q_2 \cdot Q_3)$.
- Distributivity: $(Q_1 + Q_2) \cdot Q_3 = (Q_1 + Q_3)(Q_2 + Q_3)$.

Remark 1.4. Among the upper properties the following is missing:

$$Q_1 Q_2 \neq Q_2 Q_1 (ingeneral). \tag{1.5}$$

A very important result is Hamilton's hypercomplex are not a commutative field.

Definition 1.5. We shall call conjugate of a quaternion Q, and denote $\overline{Q}$, the number:

$$\overline{Q} = a - bi - cj - dk. \tag{1.6}$$

Let us write now the sum and the difference of a quaternion with its conjugate:

- Sum:
$$Q + \overline{Q} = (a + bi + cj + dk) + (a - bi - cj - dk) = 2a = 2 \otimes \mathbb{R}e(\mathbb{H}).$$
- Difference:
$$Q - \overline{Q} = (a + bi + cj + dk) - (a - bi - cj - dk) = 2(bi + cj + dk) = 2 \otimes \mathbb{I}m(\mathbb{H}).$$
- Product by its conjugate:
$$Q \cdot \overline{Q} = (a + bi + cj + dk)(a - bi - cj - dk) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2. \tag{1.7}$$

1.2.1. *Other properties.*

- Selfpowered:
$$\overline{\overline{Q}} = Q$$
- Additivity:
$$\overline{Q_1 + Q_2} = \overline{Q_1} + \overline{Q_2}$$
- Multiplicativity:
$$\overline{Q_1 \cdot Q_2} = \overline{Q_2} \cdot \overline{Q_1}$$
- Divisibility:
$$\overline{(\frac{Q_1}{Q_2})} = \frac{\overline{Q_1}}{\overline{Q_2}}.$$

Is it possible to establish the inverse for the sum and the multiplication?

Definition 1.6. From $Q_1 + Q_2 = 0$ we have: $a + a' = 0$, $b + b' = 0$, $c + c' = 0$, $d + d' = 0$. This implies $a' = -a$, $b' = -b$, $c' = -c$, $d' = -d$. Therefor $Q_2 = -a - bi - cj - dk$. $Q_2 = -Q_1$.

Analogously,

Definition 1.7. If $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = 1$ with $Q_1 \neq 0$ then Q_2 will be the multiplicative inverse for Q_1.

$$Q_2 = \frac{a - bi - cj - dk}{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2} \tag{1.8}$$

with $Q_1 = a + bi + cj + dk$.

Now, we've already defined the conjugate and multiplicative inverse. Let's define division.

Definition 1.8. Division is define like this:

$$\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} = Q_1 \cdot Q_2^{-1} \tag{1.9}$$

Remark 1.9. Notice when dividing we multiply the numerator by the multiplicative of the denominator(recall (1.5))

2. Absolute Value

Definition 2.1. We shall call absolute value or modulus of the quaternion, the nonnegative real number $|Q|$,

$$|Q| = |a + bi + cj + dk| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}.$$

Evidently, if we want to find the modulus of any quaternion: $Q + Q', Q'', Q - Q'$,etc; this will be the square root of the sum of the squares of the real elements of each imaginary unit. Let us notice that:

$$|Q|^2 = Q \cdot \overline{Q}.$$

As seen in formula (1.7).

Thus, $|Q|^2 = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$.

Now with $Q = a + bi + cj + dk$ and $\overline{Q} = a - bi - cj - dk$ (finding the conjugate of the earlier):

- $|\overline{Q}| = |Q|$
- $|Q_1 \cdot Q_2| = |Q_1||Q_2|$
- $|Q_1 Q_2|^2 = |Q_1|^2 \cdot |Q_2|^2$.

2.1. **Norm.**

Definition 2.2. The norm will be defined like this:

$$\|Q\|^2 = Q \cdot \overline{Q} = |Q|^2 \tag{2.1}$$

Now with $Q = a + bi + cj + dk$ and $\overline{Q} = a - bi - cj - dk$ (finding the conjugate of the earlier):

- $\|\overline{Q}\| = \|Q\|$
- $\|Q_1 \cdot Q_2\| = \|Q_1\|\|Q_2\|^2$
- $\|Q_1 Q_2\|^2 = \|Q_1\|^2 \cdot \|Q_2\|$.

It is simple consequence of the modulus.

Remark 2.3. We defined division previously. Now we state the following equality:

$$\frac{Q_1}{Q_2} = \frac{Q_1 \cdot \overline{Q_2}}{\|Q_2\|}$$

Definition 2.4. We shall call unit quaternion the Hamilton's hypercomplex which satisfies:

$$\|Q\| = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

i.e. $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1$.

3. Several ways of defining a quaternion

3.1. **Vector Form.**

$$Y : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow (a, b, c, d); a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$$

Let us prove Y is a bijective application.
$\forall x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ with $x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow Y(x_1) \neq Y(x_2)$.
- Therefor is injective.
$\forall y \in \mathbb{R} \not\exists x \in \mathbb{H} : Y(x) = y$.
- Therefor is surjective.
We've proved Y is a bijective function.

Provided that$(\mathbb{H}, +)$ is an Abelian group, with the sum as defined earlier; that the product is distributive with respect to the sum, $Q_1(Q_2 + Q_3) = Q_1 \cdot Q_2 + Q_1 \cdot Q_3$ and the product is associative $Q_1(Q_2Q_3) = (Q_1Q_2)Q_3$; then, quaternions with the operations of sum and product define a ring.
$(\mathbb{H}, +, *)$ is a ring.

3.1.1. *Definitions of this notation.*

(1) The quaternion$(0, 0, 0, 0)$ is the neutral quaternion for the sum. It's obvious from the definition of sum: $(a, b, c, d) + (0, 0, 0, 0) = (a, b, c, d)$.
(2) Analogously, the neuter for the product is $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ because $(a, b, c, d)(1, 0, 0, 0) = (a, b, c, d)$.
(3) Noting we are using our defined product: $\alpha(a, b, c, d) = (\alpha a, \alpha b, \alpha c, \alpha d)$.
(4) $(0, 1, 0, 0)(0, 1, 0, 0) = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$ as we can see this quaternion is identified by i^2.

From now on, we'll consider: $Y(1) = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ $Y(i) = (0, 1, 0, 0)$ $Y(j) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$ $Y(k) = (0, 0, 0, 1)$.

We wonder,is it an isomorphism? We only have to prove the following holds:
$Y[(a + bi + cj + dk) + (s + ri + tj + hk)] = Y[a + bi + cj + dk] + Y[s + ri + tj + hk]$.

3.2. **Other Vector Form.**

$$\Gamma : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow (a, \overrightarrow{v}); a \in \mathbb{R}, \overrightarrow{v} \text{ vector in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

The conjugate of this vector is: $\overline{(a, \overrightarrow{v})} = (a, -\overrightarrow{v})$. I remark the multiplication with this notation is: $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = (a, \overrightarrow{v})(a', \overrightarrow{v_1}) = (aa' - \overrightarrow{v} \cdot \overrightarrow{v_1}, a\overrightarrow{v_1} + a' \cdot \overrightarrow{v} + \overrightarrow{v} \times \overrightarrow{v_1})$

3.3. **Matrix form.** The matrix form of defining a quaternion is:

$$\Omega : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & c + di \\ -c + di & a - bi \end{pmatrix}$$

In order to illustrate this notation, it's convenient to develop the following, by taking

$$\Omega(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \Omega(i) = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \Omega(j) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \Omega(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are called Pauli's matrixes.

The quaternion should be then:

$$\Omega(a + bi + cj + dk) = \begin{pmatrix} a + bi & c + di \\ -c + di & a - bi \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega(a + bi + cj + dk) = a \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} + c \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega(a + bi + cj + dk) = a\Omega(1) + b\Omega(i) + c\Omega(j) + d\Omega(k).$$

We have only left to wonder, is this function an isomorphism? It is, indeed, and the proof is equivalent to the earlier function (Y).If we find the determinant of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a+bi & c+di \\ -c+di & a-bi \end{pmatrix}$ and calculate the modulus of the quaternion $a+bi+cj+dk$ we can see identical results, therefor it is easily seen this function is an isomorphism.

3.4. **Trigonometric form.**

$$\Pi : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{T} \text{ ,} \mathbb{T} \text{ :Trigonometric form.}$$
$$a+bi+cj+dk \longrightarrow \rho Cis\theta + (\rho_0 Cis\beta)j.$$

To illustrate this definition we write:

$a+bi = \rho Cis\theta$,$c+di = \rho_0 Cis\beta$,$-c+di = -\rho_0 Cis(-\beta)$,$a-bi = \rho Cis(-\theta)$ with $a+bi, c+di, -c+di, a-bi \in \mathbb{C}$.

Finding the modulus of the earlier complex number we obtain:

$\rho = |a+bi| = |a-bi| = \sqrt{a^2+b^2}, \rho_0 = |c+di| = |-c+di| = \sqrt{c^2+d^2}$.

3.5. **New Trigonometric form.**

$$\Upsilon : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J} \text{ ,} \mathbb{J} \text{ :New trigonometric form.}$$
$$a+bi+cj+dk \longrightarrow \rho(Cos(\alpha) + Sin(\alpha)j).$$
$$a+bi+cj+dk \longrightarrow \rho Cjs(\alpha).$$

Remark 3.1. The following is the short way to express the earlier. We know the field of the quaternions is not commutative, so all of the transformations are equivalent.

$$\rho Cjs(\alpha) \equiv \rho(Cos(\alpha) + Sin(\alpha))j \tag{3.1}$$

The idea of the development is to shorten the trigonometric form.

From complex analysis we know that:

$$Ln(z) = Ln|z| + iArg(z)$$
$$Tan^{-1}(z) = \frac{i}{2} Ln(\frac{i+z}{i-z})$$

Definition 3.2. Applying Pythagorean Theorem in $\mathbb{R}^4$, ie, working with the complex axes(Fig.1), we define:

$$\rho = \sqrt{(a+bi)^2 + (c+di)^2} \tag{3.2}$$

$$a+bi = \rho Cos(\alpha); c+di = \rho Sen(\alpha); Tan(\alpha) = \frac{c+di}{a+bi} \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.3) we have that:

$$\alpha = Tan^{-1}(\frac{c+di}{a+bi}) = Cos^{-1}(\frac{a+bi}{\rho}) = Sin^{-1}(\frac{c+di}{\rho})$$

Now, for obtaining the true value of (α) any of the three following trigonometric function value's must be calculated: $Tan^{-1}, Cos^{-1}, Sin^{-1}$.They must be equal to (α).Now we wonder, why not to consider the value of (ρ) as the modulus of the quaternion? The answer is the following: The trigonometric equality(3.10) does not always hold, only when: $b = d = 0$. And the hypercomplex were not defined under these conditions. The conclusion I reach about it, is that if these equalities hold then we can take the modulus of the quaternion as (ρ). From (3.7) we have that:

$$Tan^{-1}(\frac{c+di}{a+bi}) = \frac{i}{2} Ln(\frac{a+d+(b-c)i}{a-d+(b+c)i})$$

ie:

$$\alpha = \frac{i}{2} Ln(\frac{a+d+(b-c)i}{a-d+(b+c)i})$$

As we already can see we have ρ which gives us (3.8), (α) which yields us (3.10) or (3.12). Then we can write a hypercomplex as:

$$a + bi + cj + dk = \rho Cjs(\alpha)$$

where $\rho, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the earlier graph we can see that a quaternion can be represented in a system of two complex coordinates. It is impossible to represent the set $\mathbb{C}$ in a coordinate axis. I only do it to see where I obtain (3.8) and (3.9). At the same time, each complex axis I show has values in $\mathbb{R}^2$,ie, we have the earlier graph in $\mathbb{R}^4$.

The remark I will write further is just a question. When defining a field in trigonometric form, do we have to reference the before field?

As we can see I've arrived to a new way of defining quaternion. Now we have:

$$\rho Cjs(\alpha) \in \mathbb{H} \tag{3.4}$$

with $\rho, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, then we can write $\rho = \rho_1(Cos(\beta) + iSin(\beta))$ with $\rho_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. So

$$a + bi + cj + dk = \rho Cjs(\alpha) = \rho_1(Cos(\beta) + iSin(\beta))(Cos(\alpha) + Sin(\alpha)j)$$

From complex analysis we know that:

$$Sen(\alpha) = Sen(x + iy) = Sen(x)Cosh(y) + iCos(x)Senh(y)$$
$$Cos(\alpha) = Cos(x + iy) = Cos(x)Cosh(y) - iSen(x)Senh(y)$$

Substituting

$a + bi + cj + dk = \rho_1[Cos(\beta) + iSin(\beta)][Cos(x)Cosh(y) - iSen(x)Senh(y) + [Cos(x)Cosh(y) - iSen(x)Senh(y)]j]$.

yields:

$$a = \rho_1 Cos(x)Cosh(y)$$
$$b = -\rho_1 Sin(x)Sinh(y)$$
$$c = \rho_1 Sin(x)Cosh(y)$$
$$d = \rho_1 Cos(x)Sinh(y)$$

William Rowan Hamilton in "On a New Species of Imaginary Quantities Connected with a Theory of Quaternions", wrote:

$$a = \rho Cos(\theta)$$

$$b = \rho Sin(\theta)Cos(\vartheta)$$
$$c = \rho Sin(\theta)Sin(\theta)Cos(\psi)$$
$$d = \rho Sin(\theta)Sin(\theta)Sin(\psi)$$

3.6. **Trigonometric Matrix Form.**

$$\Psi : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \rho Cis\theta & \rho_0 Cis\beta \\ -\rho_0 Cis\beta & \rho Cis(-\theta) \end{pmatrix}$$

I develop this kind of definition mostly for a practical work, such as multiplying or dividing, due to these operations are easily performed in trigonometric form.

Let's see that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho Cis\theta & \rho_0 Cis\beta \\ -\rho_0 Cis\beta & \rho Cis(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho Cis\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \rho Cis(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_0 Cis\beta \\ -\rho_0 Cis\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho Cis\theta & \rho_0 Cis\beta \\ -\rho_0 Cis\beta & \rho Cis(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho Cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & \rho Cos(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i\rho Sen\theta & 0 \\ 0 & i\rho Sen(-\theta) \end{pmatrix} +$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_0 Cos\beta \\ -\rho_0 Cos\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_0 Sen\beta \\ -\rho_0 Sen\beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3.7. **Logarithmic Form.** Let us define the following map:

$$\Gamma : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow \ln ab^i c^j d^k$$

This way of definition is to convert the elements $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ in natural logarithms.

$$a = \ln a, b = \ln b, c = \ln c, d = \ln d$$

(the upper is an abuse of notation) Obviously it's possible to think each part of the quaternion as real logarithms, because they are real. This notation is only possible when the real elements are not zero.

3.8. **Exponential Form.**

$$\Delta : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}$$
$$a + bi + cj + dk \longrightarrow \rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j.$$

Let us define the exponential form . First, we know from complex that:$e^z = e^x(Cosy + iSeny)$,this means that

$$e^{yi} = Cosy + iSeny = Cisy. \tag{3.5}$$

Then: $Cis\theta = e^{i\theta}, Cis\beta = e^{i\beta}$.

As we know a $a + bi + cj + dk$ can be written as $\rho Cis\theta + \rho_0 Cis\beta j$.

$a + bi + cj + dk = \rho Cis\theta + \rho_0 Cis\beta j$, by (3.5), $a + bi + cj + dk = \rho Cis\theta + \rho_0 Cis\beta j = \rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j$.

Now calculate$(a + bi + cj + dk)^n$.

$(a + bi + cj + dk)^n = (\rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^n$,developing Newton's binomial we have: $(\rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^n =$
$= (\rho e^{i\theta})^n + C_n^1(\rho e^{i\theta})^{n-1}\rho_0 e^{i\beta} j + C_n^2(\rho e^{i\theta})^{n-2}(\rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^2 + ...C_n^{n-1}\rho e^{i\theta}(\rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^{n-1} + (\rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^n$.

$$(\rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^n = \sum_{h=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ h \end{pmatrix} (\rho e^{i\theta})^{n-h}(\rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^h \tag{3.6}$$

$$(\rho e^{i\theta} + \rho_0 e^{i\beta} j)^n = (\rho e^{i\theta})^n \sum_{h=0}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} n \\ h \end{pmatrix} (\frac{\rho_0}{\rho} e^{i(\beta-\theta)} j)^h \tag{3.7}$$

Now we must verify by induction if (3.7) holds.

Obviously it holds in the case $n = 1$. Suppose it holds for $n = k$ and prove it is true in the case $n = k + 1$. Now, with the new definition in trigonometric form we'll prove the following:

Theorem 3.3. *We know* $a+bi+cj+dk = \rho Cjs(\alpha)$, *then* $(a+bi+cj+dk)^n = (\rho Cjs(\alpha))^n = \rho^n Cjs(n\alpha)$.

4. Functions of a hypercomplex variable

If a variable w is related with z such that to each value of z in $\mathbb{H}$ corresponds a value or set of defined values of w, then w is a function of the hypercomplex variable z, $w = f(z)$. If $z = a + bi + cj + dk$ and $w = u + vi + sj + tk$ with the values of $a, b, c, d, u, v, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. $u + vi + sj + tk = f(a + bi + cj + dk)$, and each of the real variables $u, v, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ are determined by the real quartet a, b, c, d. That is to say, $u = u(a, b, c, d)$,$v = v(a, b, c, d)$, $s = s(a, b, c, d)$,$t = t(a, b, c, d)$.

Example 1: $w = z^2 + 5$.

$u + vi + sj + tk = (a + bi + cj + dk)^2 + 5$,

$u + vi + sj + tk = a^2 - b^2 - c^2 - d^2 + 2abi + 2acj + 2adk + 5$. Then:

$u(a, b, c, d) = a^2 - b^2 - c^2 - d^2 + 5$,

$v(a, b, c, d) = 2a$, $s(a, b, c, d) = 2ac$, $t(a, b, c, d) = 2ad$.

4.1. **Limit Definition.** Let $f(z)$ a function defined in all the points in some neighborhood of z_0. We say that w_0 is the limit $f(z)$, when z tends to z_0,

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = w_0.$$

That is, for all positive epsilon exists a positive number lambda such that:

$$|f(z) - w_0| < \epsilon$$

when:

$$|z - z_0| < \lambda (z \neq z_0).$$

Suppose that,

$$\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = u_0 + v_0 i + s_0 j + t_0 k$$

where $f(z) = u + vi + sj + tk$, $z = a + bi + cj + dk$, $z_0 = a_0 + b_0 i + c_0 j + d_0 k$. Then by the inequality it becomes in:

$$|u + vi + sj + tk - (u_0 + v_0 i + s_0 j + t_0 k)| < \epsilon$$

when:

$$|a + bi + cj + dk - (a_0 + b_0 i + c_0 j + d_0 k)| < \lambda.$$

Making algebraic transformations, (4.5), (4.6) yield:

$$|(u - u_0) + (v - v_0)i + (s - s_0)j + (t - t_0)k| < \epsilon$$

when:

$$|(a - a_0) + (b - b_0)i + (c - c_0)j + (d - d_0)k| < \lambda.$$

But from (4.7) and (4.8) we have, applying (2.1):

$$\sqrt{(u - u_0)^2 + (v - v_0)^2 + (s - s_0)^2 + (t - t_0)^2} < \epsilon$$

when:

$$\sqrt{(a - a_0)^2 + (b - b_0)^2 + (c - c_0)^2 + (d - d_0)^2} < \lambda.$$

Uniqueness of the limit of a hypercomplex function: Suppose there exist two limit points w_0, w_1 $(w_0 \neq w_1)$. By definition of limit:

$$|f(z) - w_0| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, when |z - z_0| < \lambda$$

$$|f(z) - w_1| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, when |z - z_1| < \lambda$$

Let's work with the real number$|w_1 - w_0|$. The following step is obvious:

$$|w_1 - w_0| = |w_1 - w_0 + f(x) - f(x)| = | - f(x) + w_1 + f(x) - w_0| = | - (f(x) - w_1) + f(x) - w_0| \leq$$
$$\leq | - (f(x) - w_1)| + |f(x) - w_0| = |f(x) - w_1| + |f(x) - w_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \epsilon$$
$$|w_1 - w_0| < \epsilon,$$

but as w_0, w_1 are constant $|w_1 - w_0|$ cannot be made as small as one wants. Then, $w_0 = w_1$.

Define a function $f(z) = w = u + vi + sj + tk$ such that:

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\nabla a \to 0} \frac{u(a + \nabla a) - u(a)}{\nabla a} + \lim_{\nabla a \to 0} \frac{v(a + \nabla a) - v(a)}{\nabla a} i + \lim_{\nabla a \to 0} \frac{s(a + \nabla a) - s(a)}{\nabla a} j + \lim_{\nabla a \to 0} \frac{t(a + \nabla a) - t(a)}{\nabla a} k.$$

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\nabla b \to 0} \frac{u(b + \nabla b) - u(b)}{\nabla bi} + \lim_{\nabla b \to 0} \frac{v(b + \nabla b) - v(b)}{\nabla bi} i + \lim_{\nabla b \to 0} \frac{s(b + \nabla b) - s(b)}{\nabla bi} j + \lim_{\nabla b \to 0} \frac{t(b + \nabla b) - t(b)}{\nabla bi} k.$$

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\nabla c \to 0} \frac{u(c + \nabla c) - u(c)}{\nabla cj} + \lim_{\nabla c \to 0} \frac{v(c + \nabla c) - v(c)}{\nabla cj} i + \lim_{\nabla c \to 0} \frac{s(c + \nabla c) - s(c)}{\nabla cj} j + \lim_{\nabla c \to 0} \frac{t(c + \nabla c) - t(c)}{\nabla cj} k.$$

$$f'(z) = \lim_{\nabla d \to 0} \frac{u(d + \nabla d) - u(d)}{\nabla dk} + \lim_{\nabla d \to 0} \frac{v(d + \nabla d) - v(d)}{\nabla dk} i + \lim_{\nabla d \to 0} \frac{s(d + \nabla d) - s(d)}{\nabla dk} j + \lim_{\nabla d \to 0} \frac{t(d + \nabla d) - t(d)}{\nabla dk} k.$$

Then:

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial a} i + \frac{\partial s}{\partial a} j + \frac{\partial t}{\partial a} k. \tag{4.1}$$

$$f'(z) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial b} i + \frac{\partial v}{\partial b} + \frac{\partial s}{\partial b} k - \frac{\partial t}{\partial b} j. \tag{4.2}$$

$$f'(z) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial c} j - \frac{\partial v}{\partial c} k + \frac{\partial s}{\partial c} + \frac{\partial t}{\partial c} i. \tag{4.3}$$

$$f'(z) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial d} k + \frac{\partial v}{\partial d} j - \frac{\partial s}{\partial d} i + \frac{\partial t}{\partial d}. \tag{4.4}$$

From the upper unqualified we conclude that a hypercomplex function is analytic or integer if:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial t}{\partial d}. \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial a} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial t}{\partial c} = -\frac{\partial s}{\partial d}. \tag{4.6}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial a} = -\frac{\partial t}{\partial b} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial d}. \tag{4.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial b} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial c} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial d}. \tag{4.8}$$

We call the latter the generalized theorem of Cauchy-Riemann.

References:

[1] Kurochov, Algebra Lineal.
[2] Teresita Noriega, Algebra Lineal II.
[3] Ebbinghaus et al, Number, Stringer, 1991.

[4] Peter Grogono, Rotation with Quaternions, December 2001.

Facultad de Matemática, Física y Computación, Universidad Central Marta Abreu de Las Villas,Apartado Postal 54830,Santa Clara ,Villa Clara,Cuba
E-mail address: mijail@mfc.uclv.edu.cu